

mission statement: To educate individuals to think and act as ethical leaders and responsible citizens in the global community

2020 Assessment Plan & Report Rubric

College: _____

Department:

Name of Degree or Certificate Program/Minor/Online Distance Education Program:

Assessment Reviewed By:

Program's Goal or Mission Statement		
The GOAL or MISSION is considered DEVELOPING if	The GOAL or MISSION is considered ACCEPTABLE if	The GOAL or MISSION is considered EXEMPLARY if
None provided.	 College/School or Department/Program Mission is provided. 	 College/School and Department/Program Missions are provided, and align with the University Mission.

Feedback:

Reflection on the 2019-2020 Action Plan

1. What Action Plan improvements were suggested for 2020 based on last year's findings?

2. Were all of the Action Plan improvements implemented? If not, please explain.

3. How and to what extent did the Action Plan improvements impact student learning?

The REFLECTION is considered DEVELOPING if	The REFLECTION is considered ACCEPTABLE if	The REFLECTION is considered EXEMPLARY if
 No improvements were suggested or improvements are vague or subjective, making it difficult to 	 The Action Plan improvements are identified and defined as implemented or not. 	 The Action Plan improvements are identified and described in sufficient detail.
determine whether student learning was impacted.	 Improvements are described to have impacted student learning. 	 Improvements are purposeful and clear in how they impact student learning.



Student Learning Outcome 1 (Knowledge, Skill or Ability to be Assessed)

Changes to the Assessment Plan

If any changes were made to the *Assessment Plan* (which includes the *Student Learning Outcome*, *Measure*, *Methodology* and/or *Target*) for this *Student Learning Outcome* since your last report was submitted, briefly summarize the changes made and the rationale for the changes.

The CHANGES TO THE ASSESSMENT PLAN are	The CHANGES TO THE ASSSESSMENT PLAN are	The CHANGES TO THE ASSESSMENT PLAN are
considered DEVELOPING if	considered ACCEPTABLE if	considered EXEMPLARY if
 There is no acknowledgement of whether changes were needed or not 	There is acknowledgement of whether changes were needed or not	 If there is a short narrative explaining why changes were needed or not in addition to a list of changes

Feedback:

Identify and Describe the *Measure*

1. Identify the *Measure*, e.g., assignment, exam, project, paper, etc.

2. Identify the *Evaluation Tool*, e.g., rubric, itemized analysis, etc., that will be used to gauge the acquisition of this *Student Learning Outcome*, and explain how it assesses the desired knowledge, skill or ability.

3. Provide a copy of both, the *Measure* and the *Evaluation Tool* to be submitted electronically to the designated Box folder.

The MEASURE is considered	The MEASURE is considered	The MEASURE is considered
DEVELOPING if	ACCEPTABLE if	EXEMPLARY if
 Measures and Evaluation Tools are not identified. Copies of the Measures and Evaluation Tools are not provided. 	 At least one Measure and one Evaluation Tool is identified. The Evaluation Tool identified includes an explanation of how it assesses the desired knowledge, skill, or ability. Copies of both the Measure and Evaluation Tool are provided. 	 Multiple Measures and Evaluation Tools are identified. The Evaluation Tools are clearly described to assess the desired knowledge, skill, or ability. Copies of the Measures and Evaluation Tools are provided.



Identify and Describe the *Methodology*

- 1. List the course(s) in which the data will be collected.
- 2. Identify the person(s) responsible for the data collection.
- 3. Describe how the data will be collected.
- 4. Describe how the department will use and disseminate the *Findings* to program faculty.
- 5. Describe how the program faculty will analyze the *Findings* to develop an *Action Plan*.

The METHODOLOGY is considered DEVELOPING if	The METHODOLOGY is considered ACCEPTABLE if	The METHODOLOGY is considered EXEMPLARY if
 Data collection and methods do not align with the Measures. 	 Data collection and methods align with the Measures identified. 	 Data collection methods align with every Measure and Evaluation identified.
 It includes questionable methodology. Course(s), person(s) responsible, and data collection methods are described vaguely. No strategy for disseminating Findings or developing an Action Plan is identified. 	 Course(s), person(s) responsible, and data collection methods are described in sufficient detail. A strategy for disseminating Findings and developing an Action Plan is identified, but implementation may need further planning. 	 Course(s), person(s) responsible, and data collection methods are described in sufficient detail, and reflect good research methodology. A purposeful and defined strategy for disseminating Findings and developing an Action plan within the program's department is identified.

Feedback:

Establish the *Target*

Identify the percentage of students and the expected *Level of Proficiency* for the students being assessed in this *Student Learning Outcome*. Example: 80% of the students being assessed will achieve a level of proficiency of "acceptable" or higher on the Oral Presentation Scoring Rubric.

The TARGET is considered	The TARGET is considered	The TARGET is considered
DEVELOPING if	ACCEPTABLE if	EXEMPLARY if
 A specific proportion or percentage of students is not identified. An expected Level of Proficiency is not identified. 	 A specific proportion or percentage of students is identified. An expected Level of Proficiency is identified. 	 A proportion or percentage of students is identified and an explanation is given for that specific proportion. An expected Level of Proficiency is identified and an explanation is given for the level of choice.



Report the Assessment Findings and Analysis for 2020

1. Summarize the percentage of students and the *Level of Proficiency* achieved in this *Student Learning Outcome* for 2020.

2. Describe the program faculty's Analysis of the Findings.

The FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS are considered DEVELOPING if	The FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS are considered ACCEPTABLE if	The FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS are considered EXEMPLARY if
 The Findings are incomplete OR do not clearly align with the Target. The Analysis of the Findings is not included OR the Analysis of the Findings is unclear about whether the Target was met or not met. 	 A complete and organized summary of the percentage of students and the Level of Proficiency achieved is provided. Analysis of the Findings by program faculty address whether the Target was met or not met. 	 A complete, concise, and well-organized summary of the percentage of students and the Level of Proficiency achieved is provided. Analysis of the Findings by program faculty includes solid evidence that the Target was met or not met. Analysis of the Findings references supporting documentation.

Feedback:

Action Plan for 2021

Based upon the assessment data, *Findings* and *Analysis* from this year's annual report, what changes will the program implement during the next academic year to improve performance on this *Student Learning Outcome*?

The ACTION PLAN is considered DEVELOPING if	The ACTION PLAN is considered ACCEPTABLE if	The ACTION PLAN is considered EXEMPLARY if
 No changes are provided OR changes provided lack thoughtful interpretation or "next steps" for program improvement. 	 Changes are identified for the next academic year. An implementation strategy is described in relation to this specific SLO. 	 Multiple changes are identified for the next academic year. Reflection on the previous year is also addressed in the implementation strategy to improve performance on this specific SLO.



Student Learning Outcome 2 (Knowledge, Skill or Ability to be Assessed)

Changes to the Assessment Plan

If any changes were made to the *Assessment Plan* (which includes the *Student Learning Outcome*, *Measure*, *Methodology* and/or *Target*) for this *Student Learning Outcome* since your last report was submitted, briefly summarize the changes made and the rationale for the changes.

The CHANGES TO THE ASSESSMENT PLAN are	The CHANGES TO THE ASSSESSMENT PLAN are	The CHANGES TO THE ASSESSMENT PLAN are
considered DEVELOPING if	considered ACCEPTABLE if	considered EXEMPLARY if
 There is no acknowledgement of whether changes were needed or not 	There is acknowledgement of whether changes were needed or not	 If there is a short narrative explaining why changes were needed or not in addition to a list of changes

Feedback:

Identify and Describe the *Measure*

1. Identify the *Measure*, e.g., assignment, exam, project, paper, etc.

2. Identify the *Evaluation Tool*, e.g., rubric, itemized analysis, etc., that will be used to gauge the acquisition of this *Student Learning Outcome*, and explain how it assesses the desired knowledge, skill or ability.

3. Provide a copy of both, the *Measure* and the *Evaluation Tool* to be submitted electronically to the designated Box folder.

The MEASURE is considered	The MEASURE is considered	The MEASURE is considered
DEVELOPING if	ACCEPTABLE if	EXEMPLARY if
 Measures and Evaluation Tools are not identified. Copies of the Measures and Evaluation Tools are not provided. 	 At least one Measure and one Evaluation Tool is identified. The Evaluation Tool identified includes an explanation of how it assesses the desired knowledge, skill, or ability. Copies of both the Measure and Evaluation Tool are provided. 	 Multiple Measures and Evaluation Tools are identified. The Evaluation Tools are clearly described to assess the desired knowledge, skill, or ability. Copies of the Measures and Evaluation Tools are provided.



Identify and Describe the *Methodology*

- 1. List the course(s) in which the data will be collected.
- 2. Identify the person(s) responsible for the data collection.
- 3. Describe how the data will be collected.
- 4. Describe how the department will use and disseminate the *Findings* to program faculty.
- 5. Describe how the program faculty will analyze the *Findings* to develop an *Action Plan*.

The METHODOLOGY is considered DEVELOPING if	The METHODOLOGY is considered ACCEPTABLE if	The METHODOLOGY is considered EXEMPLARY if
 Data collection and methods do not align with the Measures. 	 Data collection and methods align with the Measures identified. 	 Data collection methods align with every Measure and Evaluation identified.
 It includes questionable methodology. Course(s), person(s) responsible, and data collection methods are described vaguely. No strategy for disseminating Findings or developing an Action Plan is identified. 	 Course(s), person(s) responsible, and data collection methods are described in sufficient detail. A strategy for disseminating Findings and developing an Action Plan is identified, but implementation may need further planning. 	 Course(s), person(s) responsible, and data collection methods are described in sufficient detail, and reflect good research methodology. A purposeful and defined strategy for disseminating Findings and developing an Action plan within the
		program's department is identified.

Feedback:

Establish the *Target*

Identify the percentage of students and the expected *Level of Proficiency* for the students being assessed in this *Student Learning Outcome*. Example: 80% of the students being assessed will achieve a level of proficiency of "acceptable" or higher on the Oral Presentation Scoring Rubric.

The TARGET is considered	The TARGET is considered	The TARGET is considered
DEVELOPING if	ACCEPTABLE if	EXEMPLARY if
 A specific proportion or percentage of students is not identified. An expected Level of Proficiency is not identified. 	 A specific proportion or percentage of students is identified. An expected Level of Proficiency is identified. 	 A proportion or percentage of students is identified and an explanation is given for that specific proportion. An expected Level of Proficiency is identified and an explanation is given for the level of choice.



Report the Assessment Findings and Analysis for 2020

1. Summarize the percentage of students and the *Level of Proficiency* achieved in this *Student Learning Outcome* for 2020.

2. Describe the program faculty's Analysis of the Findings.

The FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS are considered DEVELOPING if	The FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS are considered ACCEPTABLE if	The FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS are considered EXEMPLARY if
 The Findings are incomplete OR do not clearly align with the Target. The Analysis of the Findings is not included OR the Analysis of the Findings is unclear about whether the Target was met or not met. 	 A complete and organized summary of the percentage of students and the Level of Proficiency achieved is provided. Analysis of the Findings by program faculty address whether the Target was met or not met. 	 A complete, concise, and well-organized summary of the percentage of students and the Level of Proficiency achieved is provided. Analysis of the Findings by program faculty includes solid evidence that the Target was met or not met. Analysis of the Findings references supporting documentation.

Feedback:

Action Plan for 2021

Based upon the assessment data, *Findings* and *Analysis* from this year's annual report, what changes will the program implement during the next academic year to improve performance on this *Student Learning Outcome*?

The ACTION PLAN is considered DEVELOPING if	The ACTION PLAN is considered ACCEPTABLE if	The ACTION PLAN is considered EXEMPLARY if
 No changes are provided OR changes provided lack thoughtful interpretation or "next steps" for program improvement. 	 Changes are identified for the next academic year. An implementation strategy is described in relation to this specific SLO. 	 Multiple changes are identified for the next academic year. Reflection on the previous year is also addressed in the implementation strategy to improve performance on this specific SLO.



Student Learning Outcome 3 (Knowledge, Skill or Ability to be Assessed)

Changes to the Assessment Plan

If any changes were made to the *Assessment Plan* (which includes the *Student Learning Outcome*, *Measure*, *Methodology* and/or *Target*) for this *Student Learning Outcome* since your last report was submitted, briefly summarize the changes made and the rationale for the changes.

The CHANGES TO THE	The CHANGES TO THE	The CHANGES TO THE
ASSESSMENT PLAN are	ASSSESSMENT PLAN are	ASSESSMENT PLAN are
considered DEVELOPING if	considered ACCEPTABLE if	considered EXEMPLARY if
There is no acknowledgement of whether changes were needed or not	There is acknowledgement of whether changes were needed or not	 If there is a short narrative explaining why changes were needed or not in addition to a list of changes

Feedback:

Identify and Describe the *Measure*

1. Identify the *Measure*, e.g., assignment, exam, project, paper, etc.

2. Identify the *Evaluation Tool*, e.g., rubric, itemized analysis, etc., that will be used to gauge the acquisition of this *Student Learning Outcome*, and explain how it assesses the desired knowledge, skill or ability.

3. Provide a copy of both, the *Measure* and the *Evaluation Tool* to be submitted electronically to the designated Box folder.

The MEASURE is considered	The MEASURE is considered	The MEASURE is considered
DEVELOPING if	ACCEPTABLE if	EXEMPLARY if
 Measures and Evaluation Tools are not identified. Copies of the Measures and Evaluation Tools are not provided. 	 At least one Measure and one Evaluation Tool is identified. The Evaluation Tool identified includes an explanation of how it assesses the desired knowledge, skill, or ability. Copies of both the Measure and Evaluation Tool are provided. 	 Multiple Measures and Evaluation Tools are identified. The Evaluation Tools are clearly described to assess the desired knowledge, skill, or ability. Copies of the Measures and Evaluation Tools are provided.



Identify and Describe the *Methodology*

- 1. List the course(s) in which the data will be collected.
- 2. Identify the person(s) responsible for the data collection.
- 3. Describe how the data will be collected.
- 4. Describe how the department will use and disseminate the *Findings* to program faculty.
- 5. Describe how the program faculty will analyze the *Findings* to develop an *Action Plan*.

The METHODOLOGY is considered DEVELOPING if	The METHODOLOGY is considered ACCEPTABLE if	The METHODOLOGY is considered EXEMPLARY if
 Data collection and methods do not align with the Measures. 	 Data collection and methods align with the Measures identified. 	 Data collection methods align with every Measure and Evaluation identified.
 It includes questionable methodology. Course(s), person(s) responsible, and data collection methods are described vaguely. No strategy for disseminating Findings or 	 Course(s), person(s) responsible, and data collection methods are described in sufficient detail. A strategy for disseminating Findings and developing an Action Plan is identified, but 	 Course(s), person(s) responsible, and data collection methods are described in sufficient detail, and reflect good research methodology. A purposeful and defined strategy for disseminating
developing an Action Plan is identified.	implementation may need further planning.	Findings and developing an Action plan within the program's department is identified.

Feedback:

Establish the *Target*

Identify the percentage of students and the expected *Level of Proficiency* for the students being assessed in this *Student Learning Outcome*. Example: 80% of the students being assessed will achieve a level of proficiency of "acceptable" or higher on the Oral Presentation Scoring Rubric.

The TARGET is considered	The TARGET is considered	The TARGET is considered
DEVELOPING if	ACCEPTABLE if	EXEMPLARY if
 A specific proportion or percentage of students is not identified. An expected Level of Proficiency is not identified. 	 A specific proportion or percentage of students is identified. An expected Level of Proficiency is identified. 	 A proportion or percentage of students is identified and an explanation is given for that specific proportion. An expected Level of Proficiency is identified and an explanation is given for the level of choice.



Report the Assessment Findings and Analysis for 2020

1. Summarize the percentage of students and the *Level of Proficiency* achieved in this *Student Learning Outcome* for 2020.

2. Describe the program faculty's Analysis of the Findings.

The FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS are considered DEVELOPING if	The FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS are considered ACCEPTABLE if	The FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS are considered EXEMPLARY if
 The Findings are incomplete OR do not clearly align with the Target. The Analysis of the Findings is not included OR the Analysis of the Findings is unclear about whether the Target was met or not met. 	 A complete and organized summary of the percentage of students and the Level of Proficiency achieved is provided. Analysis of the Findings by program faculty address whether the Target was met or not met. 	 A complete, concise, and well-organized summary of the percentage of students and the Level of Proficiency achieved is provided. Analysis of the Findings by program faculty includes solid evidence that the Target was met or not met. Analysis of the Findings references supporting documentation.

Feedback:

Action Plan for 2021

Based upon the assessment data, *Findings* and *Analysis* from this year's annual report, what changes will the program implement during the next academic year to improve performance on this *Student Learning Outcome*?

The ACTION PLAN is considered DEVELOPING if	The ACTION PLAN is considered ACCEPTABLE if	The ACTION PLAN is considered EXEMPLARY if
 No changes are provided OR changes provided lack thoughtful interpretation or "next steps" for program improvement. 	 Changes are identified for the next academic year. An implementation strategy is described in relation to this specific SLO. 	 Multiple changes are identified for the next academic year. Reflection on the previous year is also addressed in the implementation strategy to improve performance on this specific SLO.

Feedback:

Overall thoughts or feedback: